7

Combining Rationales from Bolivip The Person and Property Rights Legislation in Papua New Guinea

Tony Crook

Marriage involves each partner adapting their ways. Extended networks of relatives are drawn together by anticipating the kind of joint-person that may emerge from the combination. As such my discussion here is prompted by the long-arranged marriage between two rationales of ownership. I am interested here in the new joint-person to be created by Papua New Guinean ideas of ownership, and those of international intellectual property legislation (such as the World Trade Organisation sponsored Trade-related aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) agreement, and the UN sponsored Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).¹ I use some examples of how Papua New Guineans combine rationales of ownership in order to look at this new legislative combination. Of course, Section 9 of the Papua New Guinea Constitution exclusively lays out the sources of law by combining statute law with the underlying law. The underlying law is itself already a combination of rationales: that is, of indigenous Papua New Guinean customs, and the common law of England as it stood at Independence in 1975 (Kalinoe n.d.).

As a British social anthropologist, I am drawn into the marriage through participating in a research project which involves collaborations with Papua New Guinean anthropological and legal scholars, as well as with a particular